

**THE PRODUCTION OF THIRD-GENERATION
BIOETHANOL FROM *EUCHEUMA COTTONII*
USING DOWEX (TM) DR-G8**

TAN INN SHI

UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA

2015

**THE PRODUCTION OF THIRD-GENERATION BIOETHANOL FROM
EUCHEUMA COTTONII USING DOWEX (TM) DR-G8**

by

TAN INN SHI

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

September 2015

In my memory of my mum, Kuan Yew Choon.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I am most grateful to my main research supervisor, Prof. Dr. Lee Keat Teong who has supported and inspired me throughout my entire PhD study with his patience and knowledge, especially during the compilation of my every journal paper. He spent endless hours proofreading my papers and giving me excellent suggestions which always resulted in improved versions of documents.

Next, I would like to thank all technicians, academic and administrative staff of the School of Chemical Engineering, USM, for their guidance and supports during my postgraduate study period. In addition, I would like to acknowledge the technical staff of the Analytical Laboratory: Mr Ismail, Mr Faiza, Kak Latifah, and Miss Nur'ain for providing useful and practical advices.

I would like to acknowledge a number of additional financial supports I received for this project, including the funding given by USM (Postgraduate Research Grant Scheme No. 8045036) and Mybrain15 MyPhD scholarship funded by the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia.

Furthermore, I would like to express my honest appreciation to Dr. Lam Man Kee for his numerous efforts in assisting me in my thesis. I am deeply grateful for all the help you gave me. I would like to thank all my colleagues in School of Chemical Engineering for their cooperation through this period.

Finally, I want to express my appreciation to my family members: my parents and siblings for all your support and love which encourage me to always work hard. Thanks to my mother for her affection and gentleness towards me. I miss you so much. Here, special thanks to Henry Foo Chee Yew for providing me with his unending support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement.....	ii
Table of Contents.....	iii
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Figures.....	xiv
List of Plates.....	xxii
List of Abbreviations.....	xxiv
List of Symbols.....	xxvii
Abstrak.....	xxix
Abstract.....	xxxii

CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1 Biofuels an answer to a sustainable fuel.....	1
1.2 Bioethanol.....	3
1.3 Current status and potential production of bioethanol.....	4
1.4 Evolution of bioethanol.....	7
1.5 An outlook of macroalgae biomass as the third-generation bioethanol.....	9
1.5.1 Introduction to macroalgae.....	9
1.5.2 Advantages of bioethanol produced from macroalgae.....	10
1.6 Problem statement.....	13
1.7 Objectives.....	14
1.8 Scope of study.....	14
1.9 Thesis organization.....	16

CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Macroalgae-based biorefinery concept.....	19
2.2 Chemical composition of macroalgae.....	21
2.2.1 Difference between macroalgae and terrestrial biomass....	21
2.2.2 Carbohydrate composition of macroalgae.....	23

2.2.2 (a)	Red macroalgae.....	24
2.2.2 (b)	Brown macroalgae.....	24
2.2.2 (c)	Green macroalgae.....	25
2.3	Hydrolysis of macroalgae biomass.....	26
2.3.1	Acid hydrolysis.....	26
2.3.2	Enzymatic hydrolysis.....	30
2.4	Chemical pretreatment of macroalgae for recovery of cellulose.....	33
2.5	Solid acid catalyst hydrolysis and pretreatment techniques.....	38
2.5.1	Hydrolysis with solid acid catalyst.....	39
2.5.2	Pretreatment with solid acid catalyst.....	41
2.6	Immobilization of enzyme.....	44
2.7	Bioethanol conversion technologies.....	45
2.7.1	Separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF).....	46
2.7.2	Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF).....	49
2.7.3	Simultaneous saccharification and co-fermentation (SSCF).....	52
2.7.4	Direct microbial conversion (DMC) or consolidated bioprocessing (CBP).....	53
2.8	Cost estimation of bioethanol production.....	53
2.9	Life cycle assessment (LCA).....	55
2.9.1	Life cycle assessment (LCA) methodology.....	55
2.9.2	Life cycle assessment (LCA) of macroalgae biofuels.....	59

CHAPTER 3 – MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

3.1	Raw materials and chemicals.....	62
3.1.1	Feedstock preparation.....	62
3.1.2	Chemicals, standards, and microorganisms.....	63
3.1.3	Experimental flow chart.....	64
3.2	Proximate composition and structural analyses of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>	64
3.2.1	Determination of total carbohydrate content.....	64
3.2.2	Determination of protein content.....	66

3.2.3	Determination of crude lipid content.....	67
3.2.4	Determination of ash content.....	67
3.2.5	Determination of moisture content.....	68
3.2.6	Analysis of reducing sugar and 5-HMF.....	68
3.2.7	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).....	69
3.3	Hydrolysis of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> and macroalgae extract.....	69
3.3.1	Screening of solid acid catalysts for the hydrolysis of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>	69
3.3.2	Solid-catalysed hydrolysis method.....	70
3.3.3	Liquid-catalysed hydrolysis method.....	71
3.3.4	Analysis of hydrolysis products.....	71
3.3.5	Combined severity factor (CSF).....	72
3.3.6	Ammonia temperature programmed desorption (NH ₃ -TPD) of catalyst.....	72
3.3.6	Catalyst reusability.....	73
3.4	Hydrolysis of macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	73
3.4.1	Pretreatment of macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	73
3.4.1 (a)	Solid acid catalyst pretreatment.....	73
3.4.1 (b)	Dilute acid and alkali pretreatment.....	74
3.4.2	Enzymatic hydrolysis method.....	75
3.4.2 (a)	Enzymatic hydrolysis of macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	75
3.4.2 (b)	Enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	75
3.4.2 (c)	Effect of cellulase concentration on enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated MCR.....	76
3.4.2 (d)	Determination of cellulase adsorption on cellulose.....	76
3.4.3	Analytical methods.....	77
3.4.3 (a)	Analysis of hydrolysis products.....	77
3.4.3 (b)	Determination of protein concentration.....	77
3.4.4	Morphology and structural analyses of pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	78

3.4.4 (a)	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis	78
3.4.4 (b)	Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET).....	78
3.4.5	Recycling of catalyst.....	79
3.5	Immobilization of β -glucosidase from <i>Aspergillus niger</i> on κ -carrageenan hybrid matrix.....	79
3.5.1	Preparation of beads.....	79
3.5.1 (a)	kappa-carrageenan beads (κ C).....	79
3.5.1 (b)	Preparation and modification of crosslinked κ C hybrid beads.....	80
3.5.2	Assay of β -glucosidase activity.....	82
3.5.3	Determination of the amount of immobilized β -glucosidase and soluble protein.....	83
3.5.4	Analytical methods.....	83
3.5.4 (a)	Analysis of sugars.....	83
3.5.4 (b)	Analysis of protein.....	84
3.5.4 (c)	Zeta potential measurement.....	84
3.5.5	Biophysical characterization of immobilized β -glucosidase.....	84
3.5.5 (a)	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR).....	85
3.5.5 (b)	Elemental analysis (EA).....	85
3.5.5 (c)	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).....	85
3.5.5 (d)	Simultaneous thermal analyzer (STA).....	85
3.5.6	Biochemical characterization of immobilized β -glucosidase.....	86
3.5.6 (a)	Effect of pH on the immobilized β -glucosidase.....	86
3.5.6 (b)	Effect of temperature on the β -glucosidase.....	86
3.5.6 (c)	Operational stability of immobilized β -glucosidase.....	87
3.5.7	Application of β -glucosidase on hydrolysis of macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	87

3.6	Fermentation process.....	87
3.6.1	Cell cultivation.....	87
3.6.2	Separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF).....	88
3.6.2 (a)	Fermentation of solid acid hydrolysate.....	88
3.6.2 (b)	Fermentation of enzymatic hydrolysate of pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	88
3.6.3	Prehydrolysis and simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (PSSF) of pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue (P-MCR).....	89
3.6.4	Prehydrolysis and simultaneous saccharification and co- fermentation (PCSSF).....	89
3.6.5	Scale-up of bioethanol production.....	90
3.6.6	Determination of bioethanol concentration.....	91
3.6.7	Yeast cell mass concentration.....	92
3.7	Kinetics of growth and bioethanol production in yeasts.....	92
3.7.1	Yeast cell biomass kinetic growth model.....	93
3.7.2	Bioethanol concentration model.....	94
3.7.3	Statistical analysis.....	95
3.8	Estimation of bioethanol cost.....	95
3.8.1	Estimation of macroalgae biomass feedstock costs.....	95
3.8.2	Operating cost.....	97
3.8.3	Estimation of capital investment cost.....	100
3.8.4	Assumptions for the estimation of bioethanol production cost.....	101
3.9	Life cycle assessment.....	102
3.9.1	Goal and scope definition.....	102
3.9.2	Process description and system boundaries.....	102
3.9.2 (a)	Cultivation and harvesting of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (S1).....	104
3.9.2 (b)	Transportation and biomass preparation (S2).....	105
3.9.2 (c)	Solid acid hydrolysis of macroalgae extract (ME) (S3).....	106

3.9.2 (d)	Pretreatment of macroalgae cellulosic residue (MCR) (S4).....	107
3.9.2 (e)	Fermentation (S5).....	108
3.9.2 (f)	Purification of hydrous bioethanol (S6).....	109
3.9.3	Data collection and modelling.....	110
3.9.4	Life-cycle energy analysis.....	110
3.9.4	Life-cycle impact assessment.....	111

CHAPTER 4 – RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Proximate analysis of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>	112
4.2	Production of galactose by solid acid hydrolysis reaction.....	115
4.2.1	Comparison of various solid acid catalysts.....	115
4.2.2	Effect of Dowex (TM) Dr-G8 loading.....	119
4.2.3	Effect of reaction temperature.....	123
4.2.4	Effect of biomass loading.....	127
4.2.5	Effect of combined severity factor.....	130
4.2.6	Comparison with other hydrolysis methods.....	132
4.2.7	Catalyst reuse cycle.....	134
4.3	Hydrolysis of macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	135
4.3.1	Optimization of enzymatic hydrolysis parameters.....	135
4.3.1 (a)	Effect of substrate concentration.....	135
4.3.1 (b)	Effect of pH.....	136
4.3.1 (c)	Effect of temperature.....	137
4.3.2	Optimization of solid acid catalyst pretreatment.....	139
4.3.2 (a)	Effect of biomass loading.....	139
4.3.2 (b)	Effect of catalyst loading.....	140
4.3.2 (c)	Effect of pretreatment time.....	142
4.3.2 (d)	Effect of pretreatment temperature.....	144
4.3.2 (e)	Effect of enzyme loading.....	145
4.3.2 (f)	Effect of different pretreatment methods.....	147
4.3.2 (g)	Reuse of catalyst.....	151

4.3.3	Morphology and structural analyses of macroalgae cellulosic residue.....	152
4.3.3 (a)	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis.....	152
4.3.3 (b)	Porosity and surface analysis.....	153
4.3.4	Combination methods of hydrolysis at conditions giving high sugar yields.....	154
4.4	Immobilization process of β -glucosidase.....	157
4.4.1	Optimization of immobilization process.....	157
4.4.1 (a)	Effect of PEI concentration.....	157
4.4.1 (b)	Effect of activation time.....	160
4.4.1 (c)	Effect of pH of PEI solution.....	161
4.4.2	Biophysical characterization of immobilized β -glucosidase.....	163
4.4.2 (a)	Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra.....	163
4.4.2 (b)	Morphological studies of hybrid β -glucosidase	168
4.4.2 (c)	Thermal behaviour of hybrid β -glucosidase.....	171
4.4.3	Biochemical characterization of immobilized β -glucosidase.....	175
4.4.3 (a)	Optimum pH of the hybrid immobilized β -glucosidase.....	175
4.4.3 (b)	Optimum temperature of the hybrid immobilized β -glucosidase.....	176
4.4.3 (c)	Reusability of the hybrid immobilized β -glucosidase.....	177
4.4.4	Hydrolysis of macroalgae cellulosic residue using immobilized β -glucosidase.....	178
4.5	Fermentation process for the production of bioethanol.....	180
4.5.1	Optimization of separate hydrolysis fermentation condition (SHF) of solid acid hydrolysate.....	180
4.5.1 (a)	Effect of different <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> inoculum.....	180

4.5.1 (b)	Effect of initial sugar concentration.....	182
4.5.2	Separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF) of pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue (P-MCR).....	184
4.5.3	Optimization of prehydrolysis and simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (PSSF) conditions of pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue (P-MCR).....	186
4.5.3 (a)	Effect of temperature.....	186
4.5.3 (b)	Effect of biomass loading.....	188
4.5.4	Prehydrolysis and simultaneous saccharification and co-fermentation (PSSCF) of pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue (P-MCR).....	192
4.5.5	Overall Mass balance.....	194
4.5.6	Scale up for bioethanol production.....	195
4.6	Kinetics of growth and bioethanol production in yeasts.....	197
4.7	Economy feasibility study.....	207
4.8	Life cycle assessment.....	208
4.8.1	Energy analysis.....	208
4.8.2	Environmental impact assessment.....	210
4.8.2 (a)	Global warming potential (GWP).....	210
4.8.2 (b)	Acidification potential (AP).....	212
4.8.2 (c)	Photochemical ozone creation potential (POCP) (kg C ₂ H ₄ -eq.).....	214
4.8.2 (d)	Human toxicity potential (HTP).....	216
4.8.2 (e)	Eutrophication potential (EP).....	217
4.8.3	General discussion and recommendations.....	219

CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Conclusions.....	220
5.2	Recommendations.....	223
	References.....	224
	List of Publications.....	253

Appendices

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Carbohydrate composition of macroalgae, microalgae, and lignocellulosic biomass	22
Table 2.2	Comparison of acid hydrolysis from red and brown macroalgae	27
Table 2.3	Comparison of enzymatic hydrolysis from various macroalgae feedstocks	31
Table 2.4	Comparison of pretreatment of various macroalgae feedstocks	34
Table 2.5	Comparison of solid acid hydrolysis from various substrate	40
Table 2.6	Comparison of solid acid pretreatment of rice straw	43
Table 2.7	Bioethanol production from SHF tested on red and brown macroalgae	47
Table 2.8	Bioethanol production from SSF tested on various macroalgae	51
Table 3.1	List of chemicals and reagents used in the experimental work	63
Table 3.2	Formulation design	82
Table 3.3	Estimated annual production of bioethanol in Sabah, Malaysia and technical assumption in this study	97
Table 3.4	Net operating cost of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>	98

Table 3.5	Estimation of the investment cost of a bioethanol plant in Sabah, Malaysia	101
Table 3.6	Inventory data for the production of 1 tonnes of anhydrous bioethanol	104
Table 4.1	Chemical composition of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> , macroalgae extract, and macroalgae cellulosic residue	113
Table 4.2	Properties of catalyst used	117
Table 4.3	Comparison of different catalysts for hydrolysis of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>	117
Table 4.4	Comparison with other hydrolysis methods of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>	133
Table 4.5	Reuse catalytic performance of Dowex (TM) Dr-G8	134
Table 4.6	Comparison with other pretreatment methods of macroalgae cellulosic residue	149
Table 4.7	Physical properties of macroalgae cellulosic residue before and after pretreatment with Dowex (TM) Dr-G8 catalyst	154
Table 4.8	Comparison of reducing sugars after combination of chemical and enzymatic hydrolysis under optimum conditions	156
Table 4.9	Elemental analyses data of formulation 1 (κ C/K+) as control beads, formulation 2 (κ C/GA/K+), formulation 3 (κ C/GA/K+/PEI+), formulation 4 (κ C/GA/K+/PEI+/GA), and formulation 5 (κ C/GA/K+/PEI+/GA/ β -glucosidase)	168

Table 4.10	Thermal degradation data of formulation 1 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{K}^+$) as control beads, formulation 2 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+$), formulation 3 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+$), formulation 4 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}$), and formulation 5 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}/\beta\text{-glucosidase}$). T is maximum decomposition temperature for the main steps and w is corresponding mass loss	173
Table 4.11	Kinetic parameters estimated from experimental data for the bioethanol production	200
Table 4.12	Estimation of bioethanol production cost from <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC)	208
Table 4.13	Comparison between different bioethanol studies in the literature	208

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1 Latest worldwide biofuel production as of Jun 2013	3
Figure 1.2 Bioethanol production by country from 2007 to 2013	5
Figure 1.3 Top 10 corn producers in the world in 2013	6
Figure 1.4 Top 10 sugarcane producers in the world in 2013	7
Figure 1.5 World production of farmed macroalgae from 2001 to 2012	11
Figure 2.1 Schematic flow diagram of conversion of macroalgae into third-generation bioethanol (TGB) using macroalgae biorefineries concept	20
Figure 2.2 Life cycle assessment framework	56
Figure 2.3 System boundaries	58
Figure 2.4 Life cycle inventory (LCI) analysis	59
Figure 3.1 Flowchart of the experimental works	65
Figure 3.2 Schematic diagram of hydrolysis experimental apparatus	70
Figure 3.3 Schematic representation of crosslinked κ -carrageenan beads modification and enzyme immobilization	81
Figure 3.4 Schematic flow diagram of biorefinery concept for the production of bioethanol from <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i>	96
Figure 3.5 System boundary for the macroalgae-based biorefinery concept for the production of bioethanol from <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> as generated by GaBi 6 Education software	103
Figure 3.6 Harvesting sites at Semporna; Map adapted from Google	105

Figure 4.1	FTIR spectra of (A) raw <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC), (B) macroalgae extract (ME), and (C) macroalgae cellulosic residue (MCR)	115
Figure 4.2	Temperature programmed desorption (TPD) profiles of NH ₃ -TPD for fresh Dowex (TM) Dr-G8	118
Figure 4.3	Effect of catalyst loading on the hydrolysis of (A) <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC) and (B) macroalgae extract (ME) in the presence of a solid acid catalyst Dowex (TM) Dr-G8. (Reaction conditions: s/l ratio: 8 %, temperature: 110 °C, reaction time: 0-2.5 h)	120
Figure 4.4	Effect of catalyst loading on the by-product (5-HMF) in hydrolysis of (A) <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC) and (B) macroalgae extract (ME) in the presence of a solid acid catalyst Dowex (TM) Dr-G8. (Reaction conditions: s/l ratio: 8 %, temperature: 110 °C, reaction time: 0-2.5 h)	122
Figure 4.5	Effect of reaction temperature on the hydrolysis of (A) <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC) and (B) macroalgae extract (ME) in the presence of a solid acid catalyst Dowex (TM) Dr-G8. (Reaction conditions: s/l ratio: 8 %, catalyst loading: 6 % w/v for EC and 8 % w/v for macroalgae extract, reaction time: 0-2.5 h)	125
Figure 4.6	Effect of reaction temperature on the by-product (5-HMF) in the hydrolysis of (A) <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC) and (B) macroalgae extract (ME) in the presence of a solid acid catalyst Dowex (TM) Dr-G8. (Reaction conditions: s/l ratio: 8 %, catalyst loading: 6 % w/v for EC and 8 % w/v for macroalgae extract, reaction time: 0-2.5 h)	126

Figure 4.7	Effect of biomass loading (s/l ratio) on the galactose formation. (A) <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC) and (B) macroalgae extract (ME). (Reaction conditions: reaction temperature: 120 °C, catalyst loading: 6 % w/v for EC and 8 % w/v for macroalgae extract, reaction time: 0-2.5 h)	128
Figure 4.8	Effect of biomass loading (s/l ratio) on the by-product (5-HMF) formation. (A) <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC) and (B) macroalgae extract (ME). (Reaction conditions: reaction temperature: 120 °C, catalyst loading: 6 % w/v for EC and 8 % w/v for macroalgae extract, reaction time: 0-2.5 h)	129
Figure 4.9	Effects of combined severity on hydrolysis of <i>Eucheuma cottonii</i> (EC) and macroalgae extract (ME). (A) Galactose yield and (B) 5-hydroxy-methyl-furfural (5-HMF) concentration	131
Figure 4.10	Effect of substrate concentration on enzymatic hydrolysis. (Conditions: pH 4.8, temperature 50 °C)	136
Figure 4.11	Effect of pH on enzymatic hydrolysis of macroalgae cellulosic residue. (Conditions: substrate concentration 2 % (w/v), temperature 50 °C)	137
Figure 4.12	Effect of temperature on the enzymatic hydrolysis. (Condition: substrate concentration 2 % (w/v), pH 4.8)	138
Figure 4.13	Effect of macroalgae cellulosic residue (MCR) loading on the solid-acid pretreatment process. Pretreatment conditions: pretreatment time: 30 min, reaction temperature: 120 °C, catalyst loading: 4 % w/v. Enzymatic hydrolysis conditions: 2 % w/v pretreated MCR, 15 FPU/g of cellulase, 52 CBU/g of β -glucosidase, pH 4.8, 50 °C	140

Figure 4.14	Effects of catalyst loadings on the pretreatment of MCR in the presence of a solid acid catalyst Dowex (TM) Dr-G8. Pretreatment reaction conditions: biomass loading: 10 % w/v, pretreatment time: 30 min, reaction temperature: 120 °C. The enzymatic hydrolysis conditions were the same as that in Figure 4.13	142
Figure 4.15	Effects of pretreatment time on the pretreatment of MCR in the presence of a solid acid catalyst Dowex (TM) Dr-G8. Pretreatment conditions: biomass loading: 10 % w/v, reaction temperature: 120 °C, catalyst loading: 4 % w/v. The enzymatic hydrolysis conditions were the same as that in Figure 4.13	143
Figure 4.16	Effects of pretreatment temperature on the pretreatment of MCR in the presence of a solid acid catalyst Dowex (TM) Dr-G8. Pretreatment conditions: biomass loading: 10 % w/v, pretreatment time: 30 min, catalyst loading: 4 % w/v. The enzymatic hydrolysis conditions were the same as that in Figure 4.13	145
Figure 4.17	Effect of different enzyme loadings on hydrolysis of pretreated MCR. Enzymatic hydrolysis conditions: 50 °C, 72 h, 120 rpm at 2 % w/v of pretreated MCR in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer at pH 4.8	147
Figure 4.18	Overall mass balance for (A) solid acid, (B) dilute acid, and (C) sodium hydroxide pretreatment	150
Figure 4.19	Performance of the recycled catalyst at repeated runs. Pretreatment conditions: catalyst loading: 4 % w/v, s/l ratio: 4 % w/v, pretreatment time: 30 min, reaction temperature: 120 °C	151

Figure 4.20	Scanning electron micrographs of MCR before and after pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis: (A) raw material, (B) 4 % (w/v) Dowex (TM) Dr-G8, 120 °C, 30 min pretreated, (C) enzymatic hydrolysis of raw material without pretreatment	153
Figure 4.21	Effect of PEI concentration on the immobilization yield and enzyme activity	159
Figure 4.22	Zeta potential of PEI as a function of PEI concentration	159
Figure 4.23	Effect of activation time on the immobilization yield and enzyme activity	161
Figure 4.24	Effect of pH of PEI solution on the immobilization yield and enzyme activity	162
Figure 4.25	Zeta potential of PEI as a function of pH of solutions	163
Figure 4.26	FTIR spectra of the (A) formulation 1 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{K}^+$) as control beads, (B) formulation 2 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+$), (C) formulation 3 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+$), (D) formulation 4 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}$), and (E) formulation 5 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}/\beta\text{-glucosidase}$)	166
Figure 4.27	Scanning electron microscopy images of (A) formulation 1 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{K}^+$) as control beads, (B) formulation 2 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+$), (C) formulation 3 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+$), (D) formulation 4 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}$), and (E) formulation 5 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}/\beta\text{-glucosidase}$)	169
Figure 4.28	EDX spectra of (A) formulation 2 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+$) and (B) formulation 3 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+$)	170

Figure 4.29	DSC thermograms of formulation 1 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{K}^+$) as control beads, formulation 2 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+$), formulation 3 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+$), formulation 4 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}$), and formulation 5 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}/\beta\text{-glucosidase}$)	172
Figure 4.30	Thermogravimetric weight loss curves. (A) TGA and (B) DTG of formulation 1 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{K}^+$) as control beads, formulation 2 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+$), formulation 3 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+$), formulation 4 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}$), and formulation 5 ($\kappa\text{C}/\text{GA}/\text{K}^+/\text{PEI}^+/\text{GA}/\beta\text{-glucosidase}$)	174
Figure 4.31	Effect of pH on the activity of free and immobilized $\beta\text{-glucosidase}$. (Modified formulation of 2 % (w/v) κC crosslinked with 0.25 % GA and soaked in 2 % (w/v) PEI at pH 8 for 5 h followed by 1 % (w/v) GA for 2 h. The hybrid beads was then soaked in $\beta\text{-glucosidase}$ for 24 h.)	175
Figure 4.32	Effect of temperature on the activity of free and immobilized $\beta\text{-glucosidase}$. (Modified formulation of 2 % (w/v) κC crosslinked with 0.25 % GA and soaked in 2 % (w/v) PEI at pH 8 for 5 h followed by 1 % (w/v) GA for 2 h. The hybrid beads was then soaked in $\beta\text{-glucosidase}$ for 24 h.)	177
Figure 4.33	Reusability study of the immobilized $\beta\text{-glucosidase}$. (Modified formulation of 2 % (w/v) κC crosslinked with 0.25 % GA and soaked in 2 % (w/v) PEI at pH 8 for 5 h followed by 1 % (w/v) GA for 2 h. The hybrid beads was then soaked in $\beta\text{-glucosidase}$ for 24 h.)	178

Figure 4.34	Hydrolysis of pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue (MCR) under different conditions. Enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated MCR was performed as described in Section 3.5.7 (A) Cellulase, (B) Cellulase with free β -glucosidase, (C) Cellulase with immobilized β -glucosidase	179
Figure 4.35	The effect of different <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> inoculums amount on bioethanol production. (A) 8 g/L, (B) 16 g/L, (C) 24 g/L. (Fermentation conditions: 37°C, pH 5)	181
Figure 4.36	The effect of initial concentration of galactose on bioethanol production. (A) 25 g/L, (B) 35 g/L, (C) 45 g/L	183
Figure 4.37	The effect of different <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> inoculums amount on bioethanol production. (A) 5.8 g/L, (B) 17.5 g/L, (C) 23.3 g/L. (Fermentation conditions: 37 °C, pH 5)	185
Figure 4.38	Time course of bioethanol production and glucose consumption during 3 h SSF of the pretreated macroalgae cellulosic residue at different reaction temperature. SSF: 2 % (w/v) solid loading, pH 4.8, enzyme loading of 45 FPU/g of cellulase and 52 CBU/g of β -glucosidase, and with <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> . (A) 37 °C, (B) 40 °C, (C) 43 °C, and (D) 46 °C	187
Figure 4.39	Profile of bioethanol production and glucose consumption for 8 h SSF of pretreated MCR suspended in deionized water at different solid loadings. (A) 5 % w/v, (B) 6 % w/v, (C) 7 % w/v and (D) 8 % w/v. Conditions: enzyme loading of 45 FPU/g of cellulase and 52 CBU/g of β -glucosidase, 50 °C for prehydrolysis, and 43 °C for SSF	191

Figure 4.40	Profile of bioethanol production and glucose consumption for 96 h PCSSF of P-MCR suspended in macroalgae extract hydrolysate. Conditions: enzyme loading of 45 FPU/g of cellulase and 52 CBU/g of β -glucosidase, 50 °C for prehydrolysis, and 43 °C for SSF	193
Figure 4.41	Mass balance based on glucose amounts for bioethanol production by SHF and PSSF	195
Figure 4.42	Separate hydrolysis fermentation (SHF) of solid acid hydrolysate was carried out in a 5 L fermenter at 37 °C, 35 g/L of galactose, pH 4.8, and with <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	196
Figure 4.43	PSSF process was carried out in a 5 L fermenter at 43 °C, 5 % (w/v) P-MCR solid loading, pH 5, enzyme loading of 45 FPU/g of cellulase and 52 CBU/g β -glucosidase, and with <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	197
Figure 4.44	Yeast cell growth kinetics results from fitting the Logistic equation to the experimental data of cell mass concentration obtained during the fermentation process. (A) SHF of solid acid hydrolysate (B) PSSF of P-MCR	199
Figure 4.45	Bioethanol production kinetics results from fitting the Gompertz equation to the experimental data of bioethanol concentration obtained during the fermentation process. (A) SHF of solid acid hydrolysate (B) PSSF of P-MCR	202
Figure 4.46	Validation of the logistic function model for biomass production. (A) SHF of solid acid hydrolysate (B) PSSF of P-MCR	203
Figure 4.47	Validation of the Gompertz model for bioethanol production. (A) SHF of solid acid hydrolysate (B) PSSF of P-MCR	204

Figure 4.48	The comparison of experimental data with model prediction at 5 L fermenter scale bioethanol production from SHF of solid acid hydrolysate. (A) Yeast cell growth kinetics (B) Bioethanol production kinetics	205
Figure 4.49	The comparison of experimental data with model prediction at 5 L fermenter scale bioethanol production from PSSF of P-MCR. (A) Yeast cell growth kinetics (B) Bioethanol production kinetics	206
Figure 4.50	Energy breakdown of the life-cycle energy of bioethanol production	210
Figure 4.51	Global warming potential (kg CO ₂ -eq.) of individual processes for bioethanol production	212
Figure 4.52	Acidification potential (kg SO ₂ -eq.) of individual processes for bioethanol production	214
Figure 4.53	Photochemical ozone creation potential (kg C ₂ H ₄ -eq.) of individual processes for bioethanol production	215
Figure 4.54	Human toxicity potential (kg 1, 4-DCB-eq.) of individual processes for bioethanol production	217
Figure 4.55	Eutrophication potential (kg Phosphate-eq.) of individual processes for bioethanol production	218